(c) whether Government propose to issue instructions to the concerned authorities to include the said roads in the scheme which is beneficial to 15—20 villages?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SHANTA KUMAR): (a) to (c) In pursuance of the objective of the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) *viz.*, to provide all-weather road connectivity to Unconnected Habitations, priority is being directed to Unconnected Habitations with a population of more than 1000 persons, followed by Unconnected Habitations with a population of more than 500 persons. Upgradation (to prescribed standards) of existing roads is a secondary objective. All the roads of Sultanpur district for the year 2001-02 have been cleared on the recommendation of the Government of Uttar Pradesh.

The State Government had reported that the population of Jafarpur village is 710 as per the 1991 Census and that the Galibaha-chamurakha-Jafarpur road is partially brick-soled. The State authorities have been advised to examine the feasibility of taking up the road under the PMGSY.

**Demand and Supply of Bauxite**

*143. SHRI BACHANI LEKHRAJ: Will the Minister of COAL AND MINES be pleased to state:

(a) the total estimated quantum of Bauxite and Bentonite in the country and the production of Bauxite and Bentonite as on 31st March, 2002, State-wise, particularly in Saurashtra-Kutch region of Gujarat;

(b) the details of demands and supply of Bauxite in the country at present; and

(c) the quantity of export and import of Bauxite at present?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COAL AND MINES (SHRI RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD): (a) As per the National Mineral Inventory prepared by Indian Bureau of Mines (IBM), a subordinate office under the Department of Mines, the total State-wise (including district-wise for Gujarat) recoverable reserves of Bauxite and Bentonite are given at Statement-I. (*See* below)

The State-wise (including district-wise for Gujarat) production of Bauxite during 2001-2002 is given at Statement-II (*See* below). Bentonite

is a minor mineral as defined under Section 3(e) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, and hence its production data is not centrally maintained. However the State Government of Gujarat has reported production of 484686 tonnes of Bentonite in Saurashtra and Kutch region of the Gujarat State in the year 2001-2002.

(b) As per information available with IBM. The demand/consumption of Bauxite during 2000-2001 was 6,984,700 tonnes and its supply/ production in that year was 7,893,110 tonnes (provisional).

(c) The quantity of export and import of Bauxite during the year 2000-2001 was 1248178 tonnes and 27098 tonnes respectively.

***Statement-I***

*Recoverable Reserves of Bauxite as on 1.4.1995*

(Quantity in '000 tonnes)

| State/District | Quantity |
|---|---|
| All India | 2462431.03 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 551479.00 |
| Bihar | 2113.00 |
| Chhattisgarh | 59621.00 |
| Goa | 51260.00 |
| Gujarat† | 117324.65 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 1783.00 |
| Jharkhand | 66022.00 |
| Karnataka | 27332.10 |
| Kerala | 8426.00 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 63874.00 |
| Maharashtra | 85590.89 |
| Orissa | 1395218.60 |
| Rajasthan | 318.50 |
| Tamil Nadu | 22647.88 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 9420.00 |
| *District-wise position of Gujarat* | |
| Amreli | 8.00 |
| Bhavnagar | 510.00 |

†Districtr-wise data for only Gujarat State.

| State/District | Quantity |
|---|---|
| Jamnagar | 48216.37 |
| Junagarh | 15550.60 |
| Kheda | 1341.41 |
| Kutch | 34647.95 |
| Sabarkantha | 16743.32 |
| Valsad | 307.00 |
| | |
| All India | 380991132 |
| Gujarat† | 96590688 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 116100 |
| Jharkhand | 761106 |
| Rajasthan | 274933771 |
| Tamil Nadu | 8589467 |
| *District-wise position of Gujarat* | |
| Amreli | 615595 |
| Bhavnagar | 36963338 |
| Jamnagar | 420000 |
| Kutch | 49119851 |
| Sabarkantha | 9471904 |

***Statement-II***

*Production of Bauxite, 2001-2002 (by States)*

(Quantity in tonnes)
(Value in '000 Rs.)

| State/District | Quantity | Value |
|---|---|---|
| India | 8585368 | 1916780 |
| Chhattisgarh | 547998 | 285583 |
| Goa | 41690 | 7310 |
| Gujarat** | 1489490 | 269848 |
| Jharkhand | 1215301 | 276287 |
| Karnataka | 52345 | 5813 |

†District-wise data for only Gujarat State.
**Provisional.

| State/District | Quantity | Value |
|---|---|---|
| Kerala | 25533 | 4132 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 253344 | 54912 |
| Maharashtra | 1078086 | 170473 |
| Orissa | 3604635 | 807562 |
| Tamil Nadu | 276946 | 34860 |
| *District-wise position of Gujarat* | | |
| Jamnagar | 1137536 | 161757 |
| Kheda | 137 | 29 |
| Kutch | 342419 | 107039 |
| Porbandar | 8123 | 827 |
| Sabarkantha | 1275 | 196 |

**Good-will allowance under Tehri Hydro Power Project**

†*147. SHRI MANOHAR KANT DHYANI: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to refer to the reply to Unstarred Question 4469, given in the Rajya Sabha on 8th May, 2002 and state:

(a) whether any team of officials was sent for investigations by the Central Government; and

(b) if so, the recommendations thereof along with Government's comments thereon?

THE MINISTER OF POWER (SHRI SURESH PRABHU): (a) and (b) As stated in the reply to Unstarred Question No. 4469 on 8th May, 2002, the Government of Uttaranchal, who are handling the rehabilitation and resettlement of the population affected by Tehri Project, have informed that they had sanctioned a package of Rs. 15 crores. from their own funds for shopkeepers of Old Tehri Town and advocates in order to carry our early vacation of Tehri Town. As no specific case of bungling in this regard had been brought to the notice of the Ministry of Power and the package had been given by Government of Uttaranchal from their own funds, the question of deputing any Central team for investigation does not arise.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.